1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ADAM JAY STONE,) Case No. 1:21-cv-01461-DAD-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN DEFENDANTS AND CLAIMS
13	V.	
14	C. PFIEFFER,	
15	Defendant.)
16		<u></u>
17	Plaintiff Adam Jay Stone is proceeding <i>pro se</i> in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §	
18	1983.	
19	Plaintiff filed the instant action on September 30, 2021, and Plaintiff paid the filing fee on	
20	December 17, 2021. Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint on January 10, 2022. (ECF No. 22.)	
21	On March 23, 2022, the Court screened the first amended complaint, found that Plaintiff stated	
22	a cognizable excessive force claim against Defendants Anderson, B. Gonzales, G. Morales, and K.	
23	Gonzales, and granted Plaintiff leave to file a second amended complaint or notify the Court of his	
24	intent to proceed only on the excessive force claim. (ECF No. 26.) On April 4, 2022, Plaintiff filed a	
25	notice to proceed only on the excessive force claim. (ECF No. 27.)	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
		1

Case 1:21-cv-01461-DAD-SAB Document 28 Filed 04/06/22 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- This action proceed on Plaintiff's excessive force claim against Defendants Anderson,
 B. Gonzales, G. Morales, and K. Gonzales; and
- 2. All other Defendants and claims be dismissed from the action for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief.

These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within **fourteen (14) days** after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file written objections with the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing <u>Baxter v. Sullivan</u>, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **April 6, 2022**

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Tug S. 15